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## GENDER EQUALITY AND FERTILITY


individual-level fertility

## THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

A. Hochschild ("The Second Shift: Working Parents and the Revolution at Home", I989): great changes affect women's life in public area, however within household women's life barely changed. Contemporary society provides good opportunities for women to be involved in tertiary education and labor market, but role of caregiver and housekeeper still is considered as primary female area ("dual burden","stalled revolution").
P. McDonald (2000): a problem of low fertility arises in the context of incoherence between the levels of gender equality applying in different social institutions. The problem of low fertility rate turns up when level of gender equality becomes relatively high in institutions that deal with people as individual and, on the other hand, level of gender equality in institutions that deal with people as members of families remains relatively low.

## RESEARCH QUESTION

What is the relationship between the division of labor within the household and the transition to the second child?

## PREVIOUS FINDINGS (I)

| Authors, <br> year | Dependent <br> variable | Explanatory <br> variable | Main results |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## PREVIOUS FINDINGS (2)

| Authors, <br> year | Dependent <br> variable | Explanatory <br> variable | Main results |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## HYPOTHESES

Hypothesis I: on the average, probability of the transition to the second child is higher in couples with equal division of labor within the household among individuals with egalitarian gender attitudes.

Hypothesis 2: on the average, probability of the transition to the second child is higher in couples with unequal division of labor within the household among individuals with traditional gender attitudes.

## METHODOLOGY

- Logistic regression: modeling a probability

| The set of |
| :---: |
| individual's |
| characteristics in |
| time point I |



Occurrence of an event (transition to the second child or not) in time point 2

## THE DATA AND SAMPLE DESIGN

The data:
The Generations and Gender Survey (GGS): two waves of panel data covering 8 countries (Austria, Germany, Bulgaria, France, Czech Republic, Hungary, Lithuania, Georgia)

The sample:
the same partner since wave I

- with one common biological child aged up to 18 years
- no relatives or other people in household


## THE DATA

| Country | Total country sample | Transition to the second child |  | Initial sample size | Year of field work |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $I^{\text {st }}$ wave | $2^{\text {nd }}$ wave |
|  |  | yes | no |  |  |
| Bulgaria | 436 | 54 | 382 | 9344 | 2004 | 2007 |
| Georgia | 99 | 22 | 77 | 8295 | 2006 | 2009 |
| Germany | 114 | 30 | 84 | 3226 | 2005 | 2008,2009 |
| France | 222 | 88 | 134 | 6534 | 2005 | 2008 |
| Hungary | 368 | 108 | 260 | 10641 | 2004,2005 | 2008,2009 |
| Austria | 264 | 90 | 174 | 3912 | 2008,2009 | 2012,2013 |
| Lithuania | 91 | 23 | 68 | 2294 | 2006 | 2009 |
| Netherlands* | 234 | 88 | 146 | 6091 | 2002-2004 | 2006, 2007 |
| Czech Repub. | 59 | 22 | 37 | 3151 | 2005 | 2008, 2009 |
| Total | 1887 | 525 | 1362 | 53488 |  |  |

## HOME LABOR: HOUSEWORK \& CHILDCARE ACTIVITIES

GGS questionnaire includes the battery "Who does household tasks" which contains seven types of tasks:

- preparing daily meals; doing the dishes; shopping for food; cleaning the house; small repair in/around the house; paying bills/financial records; organizing joint social activities

Also GGS questionnaire includes the battery "Household tasks with children" which contains six types of tasks:

- dressing; putting to bed; illness; leisure activities; homework preparation; transport


## INDICES FOR HOUSEHOLD \& CHILDCARE ACTIVITIES

| Variables for indices |  | Values for index components |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Childcare | Household labor | wife doing all the work | wife doing most of the work | sharing equally or husband |
| dressing the children | preparing daily meals | 0 | 0.5 | I |
| putting them to bed | doing the dishes | 0 | 0.5 | I |
| playing with them | shopping for food | 0 | 0.5 | I |
| staying at home with them | cleaning the house | 0 | 0.5 | I |
| $0 \leq$ CCindex $\leq 1$ | $0 \leq$ HHindex $\leq 1$ |  |  |  |

## GENDER ROLE ATTITUDES \& SATISFACTION

## Individual's satisfaction:

- division of household tasks
- child care task arrangements

Gender role attitudes:

- when job are scarce, men have more right to job than women
- on the whole, men make better political leaders than women do
- looking after the home/family is just as fulfilling as working for pay
- a women has to have children in order to be fulfilled


## OTHER IMPORTANT CONTROLS

dwelling condition satisfaction fertility intentions both wife and husband age of the first child help with childcare and housework from other person or organization

- effects having another child: financial situation


## RESULTS (POOLED MODELS)

- childcare index - ns
- household tasks index - ns
- division of household tasks satisfaction - ns
- childcare task arrangements satisfaction - ns
- gender role attitudes - ns
- help with childcare and housework - ns


## RESULTS (POOLED MODELS)

- age (-)
- age of the first child (-)
- status «looking after home and family» (+ for women)
- education (+ for men, ns for women)
- fertility intentions (-)
- effects having another child: financial situation (-)


## RESULTS (COUNTRY MODELS)

|  | Independent variable: gender role attitudes (1-strongly agree, 5-strongly disagree) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Country | A pre-school child is likely to suffer if his/her mother | Looking after the home or family is just as fulfilling | On the whole, men make better political leaders | When jobs are scarce, men should have more |
| Bulgaria | ns | ns | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{OR}=1.36 \mathrm{p} \text {-value: } 0.04 \\ \mathrm{~N}=433 \end{gathered}$ | ns |
| Georgia | ns | ns | ns | ns |
| Germany | ns | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{OR}=0.61 \mathrm{p} \text {-value: } \\ 0.02 \end{gathered}$ | ns | $\begin{gathered} \text { OR=0.65 } p \text {-value: } 0.02 \\ N=113 \end{gathered}$ |
| France | ns | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{OR}=1.18 \mathrm{p} \text {-value: } \\ 0.09 \end{gathered}$ | ns | ns |
| Hungary | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{OR}=1.2 \mathrm{p} \text {-value: } 0.05 \\ \mathrm{~N}=364 \end{gathered}$ | ns | ns | $\begin{gathered} \text { OR }=1.18 p \text {-value: } 0.04 \\ N=367 \end{gathered}$ |
| Austria | ns | ns | $\begin{gathered} \text { OR }=0.74 p \text {-value: } 0.03 \\ N=228 \end{gathered}$ | ns |
| Lithuania | ns | ns | ns | ns |
| Czech Republic | ns | ns | ns | ns |

## CONCLUSIONS

## Hypotheses are not confirmed. WHY?

I. weakness of the data => increase the sample and try again
or
2. there is no significant relationship $=>$ change focus from internal factors to external factors of «dual burden» problem - working conditions, available childcare services, working hours flexibility...

Thank you for your attention!
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