### The Roots of Xenophobia

Olga Yakimova LCSR Summer School Voronovo July 29 2015

### Why the concern about xenophobia?

- In 2014 far right parties significantly increased their representation in the European Parliament, gaining a record 52 seats, up by 15 seats since the 2009 elections
- Xenophobia can have severe negative consequences on individual, societal, economic and international politics levels

### Theoretical framework

- The Cultural and Economic Threat theory (Blalock 1967; Glaster 1994; Pettigrew 1998; Taylor 1998)
- The Value Change theory (Inglehart 1990; Inglehart and Welzel 2005)

### Hypotheses

- Insecurity is conducive to xenophobic attitudes
- (H1) The surge of *vote* for xenophobic parties in 2014 was determined by heightened economic insecurity that occurred as a result of economic decline and rising unemployment due to the Great Recession of 2007-2012. It does not necessarily reflect a surge of xenophobic attitudes in the given country
- (H2) Less prosperous countries will show higher *levels* of xenophobic attitudes than other countries
- (H3) A country's level of xenophobic attitudes will be more accurately predicted by its level of GDP per capita several decades before the survey, than at the time of the survey
- (H4) Countries with large numbers of Islamic immigrants will show high levels of xenophobic attitudes because of a negative response to reports of Islamic terrorism and intolerance
- (H5) Subjective perception of personal safety/unsafety where one lives (individual-level security/insecurity) significantly impacts on contemporary attitudes toward immigration
- (H6) Less-educated and lower-income individuals will show higher levels of xenophobic attitudes than individuals with higher income and education
- (H7) Individuals with Survival values will show higher levels of xenophobia than individuals with Self-expression values

### Data

• European Union members (N=28) and some other countries (N=4) that have experienced substantial flows of economically-motivated immigrants and also have a dominant Caucasian-race population (total N=33):

Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxemburg, Malta, the Netherlands, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, the United Kingdom, Australia, New Zealand, Canada, the United States and Russia

• WVS: 1989-1993; 1994-1999; 1999-2004; 2005-2009; 2010-2012

### **Dependent variables**

Dependent variables	Scale	WVS variables
Xenophobic attitudes	0= 2 non-xenophobic answers 1= mixed 2= 2 xenophobic answers	V39 foreign neighbor V46 jobs for natives>foreigners
Vote intention for xenophobic parties	0= vote for non-xenophobic party 1= vote for xenophobic party	V228 vote in a hypothetical national election
Dynamics of non- xenophobic attitudes	in changing per cent from earliest to last survey	

#### Independent variables

Independent variables	National-level/WVS variables						
	Contextual-level						
Economic situation	GDP/capita (PPP estimates)						
	Economic growth rate in recent years						
	Unemployment rate						
Ethnic diversity	Size of immigration flow						
	Percentage of immigration that is Islamic						
	Individual level						
Economic security	V229 mostly manual/intellectual tasks at work						
	V235 saved money/went in debts during the past years						
	V236 subjective social class						
	V237 income scale						
	V246 educational level						
	V249 age left school						
Subjective security	Survival/Self-expression values index						
Individual security	V170-178 secure in the neighborhood						
	V179-180 being the victim of a crime during the last year						
	V181-186 worried situations						
	V188-191 physical secure						
Left-Right dimension	V95 left/right self-identification						
	V96 income equality						
	V97 private/government ownership						
	V98 government/individual responsibility						
Group-identity	V211 national proud						
	V212-216 national/civil group-identity						

## Methodology

- 1) The description whether there has been an increase in xenophobic attitudes in given countries, comparing surveys carried out before and after the Economic Crises, that is before 2007 and after 2009 using the data of WVS and EVS
- 2) Regression analyses for each country, using as the core predictors the following indicators: economic security/insecurity, survival/self-expression values index, individual security/insecurity, left/right ideology and national/civil group-identity
- 3) A multi-level analysis using national mean score on each of the predictors, plus national-level variables

#### The dynamics of attitudes toward immigrants (%)

Table 1

		Time series						
	1989-1993	1994-1999	1999-2004	2005-2007	2008-2009			
Non-xenophobic	25.3	27.6	28.6	27.9	28.1			
Mixed	61.9	61.2	61.3	59.4	58.5			
Xenophobic	12.9	11.7	10.9	12.8	13.4			

Source: WVS/EVS

Countries (N=22): Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, the Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Spain, Sweden, the United Kingdom, the United States and Russia

Table 2

		Time series							
	1989-1993	1994-1999	1999-2004	2005-2007	2008-2009	2010-2012			
Non-xenophobic	33.6	33.5	35.3	30.5	31.4	27.7			
Mixed	56.9	57.4	55.3	57.4	55.1	58.5			
Xenophobic	9.5	9.1	9.4	12.1	13.5	13.8			

Source: WVS/EVS

Countries (N=8): Estonia, Germany, the Netherlands, Poland, Russia, Spain, Sweden, the United States

#### The dynamics of attitudes toward immigrants (%)

			non-x	enophobi	с					r	nixed							xenopho	bic		
	Ι	II	III	IV	V	VI		Ι	Π	III	IV	V	VI		Ι	II	III	IV	V	VI	(N)
Austria	15.0	-	16.4	-	23.6	-	Austria	67.3	-	71.7	-	56.0	-	Austria	17.8	-	11.9	-	20.4	-	4336
Belgium	24.4	-	40.5	-	40.8	-	Belgium	59.4	-	47.2	-	53.7	-	Belgium	16.2	-	12.3	-	5.4	-	6030
France	30.0	-	35.2	36.6	54.6	-	France	58.0	-	53.9	41.2	41.7	-	France	12.0	-	10.9	22.1	3.7	-	5005
Germany	26.5	33.6	22.6	25.2	28.0	29.6	Germany	57.3	59.9	67.7	63.0	61.5	57.0	Germany	16.2	6.5	9.7	11.9	10.5	13.3	12671
Switzerl.	-	21.1	-	33.5	45.0	-	Switzerl.	-	70.2	-	61.4	52.4	-	Switzerl.	-	8.8	-	5.2	2.7	-	3555
Italy	16.8	-	18.8	17.9	10.2	-	Italy	71.9	-	86.6	69.0	76.1	-	Italy	11.3	-	12.7	13.0	13.7	-	6222
Spain	15.4	12.1	22.8	33.0	29.1	31.5	Spain	77.5	82.1	69.4	62.0	67.2	62.3	Spain	7.1	5.7	7.8	5.0	3.7	6.2	11287
Portugal	7.2	-	23.8	-	17.3	-	Portugal	84.1	-	75.0	-	76.7	-	Portugal	8.7	-	1.2	-	6.0	-	3653
Great Br	40.1	37.8	29.0	34.5	23.4	-	Great Br	50.5	52.4	59.2	52.2	62.6	-	Great Br	9.4	9.8	11.8	13.3	14.0	-	5929
Ireland	28.5	-	18.3	-	20.5	-	Ireland	66.6	-	71.2	-	66.3	-	Ireland	4.9	-	10.5	-	13.2	-	2773
Sweden	55.1	72.5	77.1	79.4	75.8	74.7	Sweden	37.9	24.9	21.1	19.5	19.3	22.9	Sweden	7.0	2.5	1.8	1.1	4.9	2.4	6206
Norway	35.6	49.6	-	56.1	60.8	-	Norway	50.1	42.4	-	39.9	34.7	-	Norway	14.2	8.0	-	4.0	4.5	-	4416
Denmark	37.5	-	52.6	-	62.2	-	Denmark	51.7	-	39.4	-	33.3	-	Denmark	10.9	-	8.0	-	4.5	-	3234
Netherlan.	60.2	-	62.6	46.6	53.0	36.7	Netherlan.	33.3	-	34.4	45.6	38.8	49.0	Netherlan.	6.9	-	3.0	7.9	8.2	14.2	6260
Finland	16.5	17.4	27.1	27.4	25.0	-	Finland	79.5	70.7	62.0	60.0	60.8	-	Finland	4.1	11.9	10.8	12.7	14.2	-	4593
Estonia	14.0	38.0	37.4	-	15.7	6.3	Estonia	70.1	47.4	49.7	-	58.3	62.5	Estonia	15.9	14.6	12.9	-	26.0	31.2	5883
Latvia	13.9	38.6	17.5	-	8.7	-	Latvia	56.9	50.2	73.6	-	73.9	-	Latvia	29.2	11.2	8.9	-	17.4	-	4425
Lithuania	5.1	3.0	2.5	-	3.9	-	Lithuania	80.4	68.9	76.3	-	68.7	-	Lithuania	14.5	28.1	21.2	-	27.4	-	4438
Hungary	9.9	4.3	-	-	9.0	-	Hungary	68.8	72.5	-	-	76.0	-	Hungary	21.3	23.1	-	-	15.0	-	3107
Poland	28.9	4.0	3.0	7.7	18.3	13.4	Poland	64.4	75.7	73.6	81.1	67.4	79.7	Poland	6.7	20.3	23.4	11.2	14.3	6.9	6489
Czech Rep	8.8	2.9	8.5	-	11.5	-	Czech Rep	67.6	70.2	74.4	-	64.0	-	Czech Rep	23.7	26.9	17.1	-	24.4	-	7744
Greece	-	-	12.0	-	18.5	-	Greece	-	-	76.0	-	67.2	-	Greece	-	-	12.0	-	14.2	-	2601
Bulgaria	7.1	5.9	4.6	13.1	4.8	-	Bulgaria	62.3	80.0	74.4	73.6	78.3	-	Bulgaria	30.5	14.0	20.9	13.3	16.8	-	5298
Russia	28.6	16.6	19.1	7.2	10.9	7.3	Russia	62.4	73.6	71.3	64.7	60.2	67.8	Russia	8.9	9.8	9.6	28.1	28.9	24.9	11943
US	40.3	30.1	37.5	18.4	-	21.8	US	52.1	61.8	55.5	69.4	-	66.8	US	7.6	8.2	7.0	12.2	-	11.4	7915
Canada	40.9	-	37.8	40.5	-	-	Canada	54.8	-	58.6	56.3	-	-	Canada	4.3	-	3.6	3.2	-	-	5712
Australia	-	47.7	-	36.1	-	-	Australia	-	48.7	-	<b>5</b> 9.1	-	-	Australia	-	3.5	-	4.8	-	-	3463

#### Source: WVS/EVS

\* I: 1989-1993; II: 1994-1999; III: 1999-2004; IV: 2005-2007; V: 2008-2009; VI: 2010-2012

# Elections results of some far-right parties in the European Union before and after the Global Financial Crises

Country	Name of party	National P	National Parliament elections year %		European Parliament elections 9			
					2004	2009	2014	
A	Encodern Dentro of Acestric	2006	2008	2013	6.31	12.71	10.72	
Austria	Freedom Party of Austria	11.04	17.54	20.51	0.31	12.71	19.72	
Belgium	Flemish Interest	2007	2010	2014	14.34	9.85	4.26	
Deigium	Fiemisii Interest	11.99	7.76	3.67	-	7.05	4.20	
Denmark	Danish People's Party	2005	2007	2011	6.80	15.28	26.61	
Denmark	Danish reopie s rarty	13.25	13.86	12.32	0.00	15.20	20.01	
Finland	The Finns	2003	2007	2011	0.54	9.79	12.87	
1 mana		1.57	4.05	19.05	-	9.19	12107	
France	National Front	2002	2007	2012	9.81	6.34	24.86	
Tunee		11.34	4.29	13.60	9.01	0.51		
Greece	Golden Dawn	2009	2012	2012	_	0.46	9.39	
		0.29	6.97	6.92	_	0.10		
Hungary	Jobbik	2006	2010	2014	_	14.77	14.67	
Tungury	JOCOM	2.20	16.67	20.54	_	1 /	1 1107	
Italy	Northern League	2006	2008	2013	4.96	10.21	6.15	
Turiy	Ttorthern Deugue	4.58	8.30	4.08	_	10.21	0.15	
The Netherlands	Party of Freedom	2006	2010	2012	_	16.97	13.32	
The routerfunds		5.89	15.45	10.08	_	10.97	15.52	
Sweden	Swedish Democrats	2002	2006	2010	1.13	3.27	9.70	
Sheddin	S would Domocrats	1.44	2.93	5.70	1.15	5.27	2.10	

Saurce: Klapsis, Antonis. Economic crisis and political extremism in Europe: from the 1930s to the present. (2014). European View, No. 13, pp. 189-198.

Correlations between a society's GDP/capita at various times in the past and responses to questions about jobs for one's own nationality and about having immigrants/foreign workers as neighbors

	I would not like to have immigrants/foreign workers as neighbors	When jobs are scarce, employers should give priority to people of this country over immigrants
2011 GDP/capita (ppp)	.185	.519
2008 GDP/capita (ppp)	.186	.508
2000 GDP/capita (ppp)	.236	.553
1990 GDP/capita (ppp)	.243	.570
1980 GDP/capita (ppp)	.314	.681
1970 GDP/capita (ppp)	.310	.755
1960 GDP/capita (ppp)	.304	.762
Survival/Self-Expression values at the time of survey	.250	.599

Source: WVS 2010-2014; Penn World Tables 7.1

This report was presented at the 5th LCSR Summer School "Introduction to Factorial Design and Data Visualization with R".

July 25 – August 3, 2015 – Higher School of Economics, Voronovo, Moscow region.

http://lcsr.hse.ru/en/summer2015

Настоящий доклад был представлен на V международной летней школе ЛССИ «Введение в факториальный дизайн исследования и визуализация данных с помощью R».

25 июля – 3 августа 2015 года – НИУ ВШЭ, Вороново, Московская область.

http://lcsr.hse.ru/summer2015