Suffer for the Faith? The Impact of Parental Religiosity on Children's Health Progress Report

Olga Popova^{a,b,c,d}

^a Institute for East and Southeast European Studies (IOS), Regensburg ^b CERGE-EI, Prague

^c Graduate School of Economics and Management, UrFU, Yekaterinburg ^d Laboratory for Comparative Social Research, HSE, Moscow



Institut für Ost- und Südosteuropaforschung theast Furonean Studies



IV LCSR Workshop; XV HSE Conference

Moscow, April 2, 2014 V LCSR Workshop; XV HSE Conference Mos

Olga Popova (IOS, CERGE, GSEM, LCSR)

Outline

Introduction and relevant literature

- 2 Research questions
- Theoretical framework
 - The model
 - 2 Transmission mechanism
- Empirical approach and data
- Preliminary results and conclusions
- Future steps

Religiosity and health of adults

Psychological, medical, and literature in social sciencies: Ambiguous effect of religiosity on health of adults

- + effects: Faithhealing, fasting, reducing drug addiction
- effects: Extreme beliefs prevent medical care; unintended pregnacies and illegal abortions

Economic literature: Mostly positive effects of religiosity on socioeconomic outcomes of adults

- insures against idiosyncratic and aggregate shocks (Clark and Lelkes, 2006, 2009; Dehejia et al., 2007; Popova, 2010)
- leads to higher levels of education and income, lower levels of welfare receipt and disability, higher levels of marriage, and lower levels of divorce (Gruber, 2005, among others)
- reduces risky health behavior (Fletcher and Kumar, 2013)

What about kids?

Olga Popova (IOS, CERGE, GSEM, LCSR)

Own religiosity affects health, education, behavior of adolescents:

- risky health behavior of adults and adolescents (Gruber and Hungerman, 2008; Fletcher and Kumar, 2013, among others)
- improves educational outcomes of adolescents and reduces their asocial behavior (Regnerus, 2003)
- improves psychological and overall health condition of children and adolescents of 6-19 ages (Chiswick and Mirtcheva, 2013)

Research questions

1 Does parental religiosity affect children's health?

- general health condition
- presence of chronic diseases

Ooes the impact (if any) differ for children of different ages? for parents with different religious denomination? for parents with different level of education?

Expected Contribution:

Theory: adaptation of Chiswick and Mirtcheva's (2013) to account for parental religiosity

Empirics: causal results regarding general health and chronic diseases of children in Russia

Policy: implications for improving children's health

The model of demand for kids' health

Inspired by Grossman (1972) and Chiswick and Mirtcheva (2013)

Intertemporal utility of a child:

$$U = U(\phi_0 H_0, ..., \phi_t H_t, Z_0, ..., Z_t)$$
(1)

 H_0 is the stock of initial child health at birth

 H_t is the stock of health in period t

 ϕ_t is the flow of health services per unit of stock in period t

 $h_t = \phi_t H_t$ is the total demand for health services

 Z_t is the total consumption of all other goods and services besides health in period t

The death happens when the stock of health is minimal, $H_t = H_{min}$

The model of deman for kids' health

No health depreciation with age is assumed for children (*a la* Chiswick and Mirtcheva, 2013)

Thus, I_t , the gross investment in the health stock in period t, equals to net investment:

$$H_{t+1} - H_t = I_t \tag{2}$$

Health production function is presented as follows:

$$I_t = I_t(M_t, TH_t, PE_t, PR_t)$$
(3)

 M_t is the availability of medical care

 TH_t is the time of parents available for investing a child's health

 PE_t is parental education

 PR_t is parental religiosity

Hypotheses

Parental religiosity has a positive effect on children's health

- Oifferent religious denominations affect similarly
- The effect on health of younger kids is stronger than on health of older kids
- The effect on health of kids of the less educated parents is stronger than of the more educated parents
- When standard medical care is in a close proximity, religiosity has weaker effect

Potential transmission channels

- religiosity as a regulator reduces unhealthy behaviors (drinking, smoking), but some religions may also discourage certain medical treatments
- social capital as a moderator. Religious networks may provide support when a person has medical problems
- psychological effects of religion may improve emotional health

Empirical model

$$H_{ij} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 P R_{\rho j} + \gamma' \mathbf{F}_{ij} + \delta' \mathbf{X}_{ij} + \lambda_j + \epsilon_{ij}$$
(4)

i stands for a child, *j* stands for a region, *p* stands for a parent *H* represents child's health

 PR_{pj} is a dummy variable and equals 1 if a parent assesses him/herself as being a believer/belonging to a particular religious denomination

 F_{ij} is the vector of family characteristics such as education of a parent, marital status, employment statuses of a parent, and household income.

 X_{ij} is the vector of child characteristics such as initial health status at birth, gender and age.

- λ_i is a regional fixed effect.
- ϵ_{ij} is a stochastic disturbance

Identification strategy

Eq. (4) is initially estimated using the linear probability model and probit.

But: potential endogeneity problem due to selection on observable and unobservable characteristics and simultaneity

- To deal with endogeneity problem, I apply the propensity score matching (Rosenbaum and Rubin, 1983).
 - analyze the propensity of a parent to be religious

$$\Pr(PR_{pj} = 1 | X_{pj}) = \Psi(\boldsymbol{\alpha}' \mathbf{X}_{pj} + \mu_j)$$
(5)

 X_{pj} is the vector of parental characteristics, including age, gender, education, income, marital and employment status μ_i is a regional fixed effect

match children of religious parents to children of non-religious parents based on propensity scores and obtain ATT

Data

The Russia Longitudinal Monitoring Survey (RLMS), 2000-2003

Parental religiosity

- Of what religion do you consider yourself? Orthodoxy/Islam/Other religion
- What do you think about religion? You are a believer/ You are more a believer than a non-believer/ You are more a non-believer than a believer/ You are a non-believer/ You are an atheist

Children's health

- I Has the child had any health problems in the last 30 days? Yes/No
- ② Has (he/she) been in the hospital in the last three months? Yes/No
- Oid he/she skip any of required vaccinations? Yes/No
- O How would you evaluate (his/her) health? 5-point scale
- Does (he/she) have any kind of chronic illness? Yes/No (heart disease, lung disease, liver disease, kidney disease, gastrointestinal disease, spinal problems, another chronic illness).
 IV LCSR Workshop: XV HSE Conference Mo

Preliminary results

	Health problem	Hospitalized	Chronic heart	Chronic	
	last month	last 3 months	disease	stomach	
				disease	
believer	-0.0129	0.0259	-0.0123	0.0826**	
	(0.0455)	(0.0212)	(0.0270)	(0.0267)	
orthodox	0.0162	-0.0116	-0.0169	0.0240	
	(0.0413)	(0.0245)	(0.0245)	(0.0244)	
islam	-0.0276	0.0506	-0.0587	0.0612	
	(0.0908)	(0.0423)	(0.0539)	(0.0530)	
believer (5-	0.0058	-0.0009	-0.0003	0.0417***	
point scale)	(0.0172)	(0.0081)	(0.0104)	(0.0096)	
Note: Standard errors are in parentheses. * p<0.05. ** p<0.01. *** p<0.001					

Table 1. OLS/linear probability model results

Other controls: parental education, parental employment status, parental marital status, household income, pediatrician in a close proximity, gender of a kid, age of a kid, body mass index of a kid at birth, regional fixed effects

Preliminary results

	Health problem	Hospitalized	Chronic heart	Chronic	
	last month	last 3 months	disease	stomach	
				disease	
believer	.0408	.0386**	0165	.0594***	
	(.0562)	(.0184)	(.0324)	(.0169)	
orthodox	.0358	0190	0415	.0074	
	(.0497)	(.0252)	(.0307)	(.0273)	
islam	0338	.1002*	0550*	.0232	
	(.0917)	(.0705)	(.0311)	(.0722)	
Note: average treatment effect on treated is presented, that is the average					

Table 3. Kernel density matching results

Note: average treatment effect on treated is presented, that is the average effect of parental religiosity on health of children of religious parents, as compared to children of non-religious parents. Standard errors are in parentheses. * p<0.05, ** p<0.01, *** p<0.001

Other controls: parental education, parental employment status, parental marital status, household income, pediatrician in a close proximity, gender of a kid, age of a kid, body mass index of a kid at birth, regional fixed effects

Preliminary conclusions

- when endogeneity is not controlled for, religiosity of parents has no effect on health outcomes of children, except for the presence of stomach diseases
- When endogeneity is controlled for, parental religiosity has no effect on probability of having health problems, but affects positively the probability of hospitalization and presence of chronic diseases
- robustness checks are needed

IV LCSR Workshop: XV HSE Conference N

Future steps

- discuss transmission mechanism more extensively
- obtain results for other health indicators (vaccinations, different chtonic diseases, and self-evaluation of health status), for children of different ages, for parents of different education
- assess the quality of matching
- robustness checks
- interpret and discuss the results

Thank you! Questions? Comments?

popova@ios-regensburg.de

Olga Popova (IOS, CERGE, GSEM, LCSR)

Religiosity and Kid's Health

IV LCSR Workshop; XV HSE Conference Mo