



#### "Anomie: Social Reality or a Unique Measure of all the Possible Negative Phenomena?"

Ekaterina Lytkina (LCSR)

21.02.2013

## Contents

- Theoretical framework
- Approach towards operationalization
- Is data fitting the theory?
- -ESS
- -EVS
- Further Hypothesis
- Further Steps

# Steps taken

- Wether EVS (2008) does provide us with more information on anomie?
- Clarification: Anomie VS Anomia
- Normlessnesess VS Meaninglessness
- Key independent variables of interest

# Theoretical approach

anomie



anomia

- individual state of members of the society (such as feelings of helplessness, atomization, concern about future, etc. (Srole 1956, Lamnek 1996))
- Characterizes property of a social system measured indirectly by the attitudes of single individuals (Robinson & Shaver 1973, 245)

## Anomie

- Back to Durkheim's interpretation, which means embeddedness in the regulative system
- What was studied by Merton is the congruence and conflict within the normative systems.
- Differentiate between anomie and heteronomy (Tard, Merton (after Gofman 2013)

#### Durkheim on anomie: "Suicide" (1912):

#### contemporary societies



## Anomie

- Emile Durkheim (1912): two kinds of anomie:
- sharp form anomie caused by various forms of crises that shutter the collective order and can lead both to degradation and prosperity. It causes the variability in the rate of suicide.
- anomie as a chronic phenomenon permanent in certain fields of social life. These are the commercial and industrial life and the institute of family



- The term "anomia" was introduced by Leo Srole (1956). "Microscopic level" of studying the phenomenon showing the level of integration of the individuals "in the total action fields of their interpersonal relationships and reference groups" (Srole 1956: 710).
- anomie as an objective social state, anomia as "subjectively perceived anomie" (Olsen 1969: 290)
- "...the first thing a sociologist in the area will want to impress on his audience is that anomie refers to a property of a social system and hence cannot be directly measured by the attitudes of single individuals." => anomy, anomia (Robinson & Shaver 1973, 245)

# Upon relevance

- Which anomie? Anomie is understood and interpreted differently by various sociologists (Lamnek 1996: 108)
- Merton, who lay fundament to a overwhelming tradition in theoretical and empirical works on anomie, was hardly dealing with anomie directly
- Anomia is usually not differentiated from anomie or alienation (rarely used at all). Anomie is often mixed with alienation as well
- Problems with operationalization and measurement

## **Scales: Anomie-Alienation**

- 1. Anomy Scale (McClosky, Schaar 1965)
- 2. Anomia Scale (Srole 1956)
- 3. Powerlessness (Neal & Seeman 1962)
- 4. Political Alienation (Seeman 1969)
- 5. Alienation via Rejection (Streuning & Richardson 1965)
- 6. Purpose-in-Life Test (Crumbaugh 1968)
- 7. Alienation Scale (Dean 1961)
- 8. Alienation (Middleton 1963)
- 9. Political Alienation (Horton & Thompson 1962)
- 10. Alienation (Nettler 1957)
- 11. Anomie Scale (Hyman, Wright & Hopkins 1960)
- 12. Helplessness (Gamson 1961)
- 13. Alienation (Davids 1955)
- 14. Alienation Within a Social System (Clark 1959)

Robinson & Shaver 1973, 245

+

15. The MOS AlienationScale (Travis 1993)16. Meaninglessness(Legge et al. 2008)17. Don't Know Scale(Kosals & Swader, 2012)

#### Disadvantages of the Existing Scales

- measuring other phenomena rather than anomie and alienation, as in case of Crumbaugh (1968) where individuals with neuroses are found out.
- broadening the notion of psychological anomie to feelings of anxiety, mistrust, and lack of the feeling of safety, pessimism and hopelessness (McIver, Risman, De Garcia).
- Concepts of anomia and alienation are being mixed (Srole 1956), there have hardly been any attempts to differentiate the concepts
- Lack of control over agreement response set (Srole 1956)
- Absence of tests on reliability or validity of various scales (McClosky & Schaar 1965)
- Insufficient sample size (Hyman, Wright & Hopkins 1960)
- Inaccuracy in constructing scales (Travis 1993)
- Narrowing down the concepts (in the scale used by Legge et al. 2008 the concept of anomia is shrunk to meaninglessness).



country

### Anomie VS Anomia

#### NORMLESSNESS

Conflict of norms, values (Dean 1961) Absence of norms / values (Durkheim 1893, 1897) ["objective character of anomie"] MEANINGLESSNESS

Unpredictability of outcomes upon given moral beliefs (Seeman 1959, Srole 1956) Previous Steps: upon Seeman's Alienation Scale (1959)

#### Implementation of the Scales















# Some findings

- Suicide is hardly a measure of anomie. Still, there are four types of anomie and gender differences claimed by Durkheim are no more relevant
- EVS offering a suitable measure of normlessness
- Linear regressions: most relevance: confidence in church as institution. Insignificant determination by interest in politics, trust in political institutions
- Measurement of meaninglessness is to be analyzed

# Further steps

- SEM upon the suggested measurement
- Find more relevant independent variables. Check for aggregated indices measuring anomie (country level)
- Measurement of ideology?

# Further Hypothesis

- Migrants should show a higher level of anomia (Travis 1993)
- Unmarried men should be more anomic (Durkheim)
- Those working in the sphere of economics should have a higher level of anomia (Durkheim)

# Thank you for your attention!