

Factors of international migration: contemporary trends

Maria Ravlik Progress Report

Laboratory for Comparative Social Research National Research University Higher School of Economics July, 4 2012

What had been done before

- August, 11 cultural vs. economic factors comparison
- November, 11 adding more cultural variables, division of immigrants in groups with/without tertiary education
- April, 12 presentation of final models, tiny countries expulsion
- July, 12 new data matrix, final model

According to the United Nations recommendations, an **international immigrant** is defined as any person who changes his or her country of usual residence for at least one year for any purpose*

* <u>http://unstats.un.org/unsd/publication/SeriesM/SeriesM_58rev1e.pdf</u>

Theoretical background and hypotheses

Wage / employment /levels of economic development differentials ("neo-classical economics," "push-pull", NELM)

E. Lewis, E. Lee, O. Stark, R. Skeldon

Hypothesis 1:

Migrants share is more likely to be higher in those countries where immigrants can raise their living standards

No one is above the law

A. Dicey, J. Raz, F. Hayek

Hypothesis 2:

Migrants share is more likely to be higher in societies where one is able to pursue his personal inspirations being certain that government will not be used to frustrate his efforts

Theoretical background and hypotheses

Historical, cultural, linguistic links, localized "cultures of emigration"

E. Wallerstein, M. Kritz and H. Zlotnik

Hypothesis 3:

Migrants share is more likely to be higher in countries which have common cultural or historical links

International Migration by Educational Attainment, "brain drain"

F. Docquier and M. Abdeslam; R. Adams, Jr.

Hypothesis 4:

Highly-educated migrants have long-term goals and ambitions while low-educated migrants' motives are more simple and short-term

Previous data and variables (179 countries)

Raising living standards (H1)

- GDP per capita
- Human Development Index difference btw sending and receiving countries
- Human Security Index difference btw sending and receiving countries
- Petroleum Exporting Countries

Everyone is equal before the law (H2)

- Rule of law
- Civil liberties and Political rights index
- Democracy index

Historical and cultural links (H3)

- Common colonial relationship
- Common official language

Educational attainment (H4)

- Common colonial relationship
- HDI difference
- Citizenship

Generalised determinants of migration (t-values)

| Independent variables | Dependent variable: share of immigrants , 2010 | | |
|---|---|--------------------|--|
| | 179 cases | 150 cases | |
| Log, size of population, 2010 | -0.24*** (-4,4) | -0.39*** (-5,4) | |
| HDI difference between sending and receiving countries | 5,49*** (5,1) | 4,92*** (4,2) | |
| Human Security Index difference between sending and receiving countries | | | |
| Rule of law | 0.47*** (4,6) | 0.61*** (5,7) | |
| Petroleum Exporting Countries | 0.78* (2,1) | 0.84* (2,4) | |
| Common colonial relationship | 1.05*** (3,6) | 0.89** (2,8) | |
| Adjusted R ² | 0,50 | 0,48 | |

Determinants of migration by educational attainment

(t-values)

| Independent variables | Dependent variables | | |
|--|--|-------------------------------------|--|
| | share of highly-educated immigrants | share of low-educated immigrants | |
| Log, size of population, 2010 | -0.14 (-1,9) | -0.24*** (-3,6) | |
| HDI difference between sending and receiving countries | 3.59** (2,7) | 2.57* (2,2) | |
| Rule of law | 0.58*** (4,42) | 0.55*** (4,7) | |
| Petroleum Exporting Countries | 0.72 (1,5) | 0.56 (1,3) | |
| Common colonial relationship | 0.32 (0,8) | 0,96** (2,9) | |
| Citizenship | 0.11** (2,8) | 0,04 (1,2) | |
| Adjusted R ² | 0,34 | 0,38 | |

The construction of the common colonial relationship variable

179 destination countries * *5 origin countries*

| | Algeria | | Portugal | | Spain | | Italy | | Morocco | | Common colonial relationship |
|---|---------|------|------------|------|---------|------|------------|------|------------|------|---------------------------------|
| France (destination country) | 1,00 | 0,14 | 0,00 | 0,11 | 1,00 | 0,05 | 0,00 | 0,05 | 1,00 | 0,13 | 0,751 |
| | Ukraine | | Kazakhstan | | Belarus | | Azerbaijan | | Uzbekistan | | Common colonial relationship |
| | India | | Ireland | | Poland | | Pakistan | | Germany | | Common colonial relationship |
| United Kingdom (destination country) | 1,00 | 0,09 | 1,00 | 0,06 | 0,00 | 0,07 | 0,00 | 0,06 | 0,00 | 0,04 | 0,717 |

New data

188*188 countries = 35 344*

Dependent variable – number of immigrants Independent variables:

- Δ HDI (Human Development Index)
- Δ HSI (Human Security Index)
- ∆ Rule of law
- Colony
- Petroleum exporting countries

Poisson regression analysis

 $Log M_{ij} = b_0 + b_1 \Delta HD_{ij} + b_2 \Delta HS_{ij} + b_3 \Delta Rule of law_{ij} + b_4 Colony_{ij} + b_5 Oil_{ij} + offset (log_population*1000) + \varepsilon i$

 * Many thanks to Olga, Alexandra and Boris for their assistance in the data implementation



The largest migrants streams in the world (within 188 countries, 2010)

| Independent variables | Dependent variable: <i>number of</i> <i>immigrants</i> , 2010 |
|---|--|
| | Offset=log(population*1000) |
| HDI difference between sending and receiving countries | 5.325e-01 *** (3.6) |
| Human Security Index difference between sending and receiving countries | -7.652e-01*** (4.8) |
| Rule of law | 2.283e-01*** (2.2) |
| Petroleum Exporting Countries | 2.617e-02*** (2,6) |
| Common colonial relationship | 2.404e+00*** (2.4) |

(Dispersion parameter for poisson family taken to be 1)

Null deviance: 1350115861 on 33563 degrees of freedom Residual deviance: 1190949145 on 33558 degrees of freedom (1841 observations deleted due to missingness) AIC: Inf

Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 9

Conclusions

H1: countries with high HDI attract immigrants by their potential for comfortable adaptation due to well-developed conditions in these countries, high educational standards and quality of education itself

H2: countries with high Rule of Law index can be attractive due to their guarantees for human rights protection. Trust issues are essential in migration processes. That is why personal and social security reasons are important requirements

H3: Countries with colonial linkages have not only common historical background but cultural links as well. It obviously helps for better adaptation in a receiving country.

H4: highly educated immigrants do not choose the same countries to migrate with the low educated immigrants

Thank you for attention!